

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,459	BARTL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gregory Lapointe.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007
Time: 12 pm e.t.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

23-26

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to insert the material of claims 23-26 into the specification, and to replace the trademark "Teflon" in claim 25 with the generic terminology.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)